

## **GCE**

# **Geography**

Unit F764: Geographical Skills

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2014

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2014

#### 1. Annotations used in the detailed Mark Scheme

Annotation	Meaning of annotation
BP	Blank Page – this annotation <b>must</b> be used on all blank pages within an answer booklet (structured or unstructured) and on each page of an additional object where there is no candidate response.
^	Omission mark. Further development needed, missing point or link between points.
ш	Level one.
L2	Level two.
L3	Level three.
?	Unclear, inaccurate, dubious validity.
IRRL	Irrelevant, a significant amount of material that does not answer the question
NE	No example(s) used or provided.
R	Rubric Error (place at start of Question not being counted).
<b>\{\}</b>	Highlighting an issue eg irrelevant paragraph. Use in conjunction with another stamp eg or
EVAL	For use to identify areas of evaluation or justification
Highlighter	Use if attention is needed to that point – negative, positive or key
SEEN	Point has been seen and noted.

C	Questi	on	Answer/Indicative Content	Marks	Gui	dance
					Content	Levels of response
1	(a)		Study Fig. 1, a flow chart drawn by an A Level student to show the results from an investigation into commuting from Chelmsford.  Comment on the effectiveness of Fig.	5	At least one positive and one negative comment for top of level 2.	Level 2 (4–5 marks) Candidates comment in detail on both positive and negative features of the effectiveness of the method. Clear reference to Fig. 1.
			1 in showing patterns of commuting from Chelmsford.  Candidates may see this as focusing on flow charts as a method of showing commuting flows and/or in showing the pattern of commuting from Chelmsford.  Candidates should recognise that this method has some plus points:  • shows relative size of movement  • shows direction  • easy to read  But equally:  • it is over simplified  • doesn't indicate routes or distance  • not easy to read values  • why are Southend and Basildon combined?  • no orientation or scale  • no date/time		Generic answer on flow charts unlikely to get into L2.	Level 1 (0–3 marks) Candidates offer a limited or unbalanced evaluation of the effectiveness. Limited, if any, reference made to Fig. 1.

Q	uestic	on Answer/Indicative Content	Marks	Guid	lance
				Content	Levels of response
	(b)	Evaluate the factors influencing the selection of equipment to be used in an investigation.  Factors could include:  • the nature of the investigation/data  • the location  • their availability  • the level of skill needed to use it/them  • cost  • time available  • safety issues  • level of accuracy  • robustness or size  • reliability  • used it before  Evaluation should look at their relative importance in the selection process.  There is no requirement to exemplify but this could help illustrate a factor. This is	10	Either a wide range of factors or two or three points in depth. L3 distinguished from L2 on clarity of linkage to the selection of equipment and clear attempt at some evaluation (i.e. which factor(s) is the most important is a key L3 indicator).  If no clear reference to an investigation then max 8.  Can get to top L2 with appropriate selection factors but no evaluation.  Answers that are largely descriptive and/or evaluative of the equipment are unlikely to reach L1 max.	Level 3 (8–10 marks) Candidates offer a detailed range of factors and evaluate them with clear linkage to an investigation. Clear cause-effect between factor and choice of equipment. Answer is well structured with accurate grammar and spelling. Good use of appropriate geographical terminology.  Level 2 (5–7 marks) Candidates offer some of the factors and evaluate them with linkage to an investigation. Answer has sound structure but may have some errors in grammar and spelling. Some use of appropriate geographical terminology.  Level 1 (0–4 marks) Candidates offer few factors with no evaluation and little linkage to an investigation. Answer has little structure and has some errors in grammar and spelling. Little use of
				are unlikely to reach L1 max.	

(c) Why is it often advisable to repeat the 5 A single well developed reason can Level 2 (4-	Levels of response 2 (4–5 marks)
	2 (4-5 marks)
the year?  Answers could be very practical or philosophical.  Repeating ensures accuracy, reliability and that it is representative – it  L2 answer is likely to be exemplified.  L2 answer is likely to be exemplified.  An undeveloped single idea e.g. seasonal differences unlikely to get into L2.  Level 1 (0-  Candidates  Explanation  Should be represented to the year.  An undeveloped single idea e.g. seasonal differences unlikely to get into L2.	dates give a clear and detailed nation of why collection of data do be repeated at different times year.  1 (0-3 marks) dates give a limited or vague nation of why collection of data do be repeated at different times

C	Questi	ion	Answer/Indicative Content	Marks	Guid	dance
					Content	Levels of response
2	(a)		Study Fig. 2, a photograph of an area where an A Level geographical investigation is to be undertaken.			
		(i)	State and justify, using evidence from the photograph, an appropriate geographical question or hypothesis for investigation in this area.  Most geographical investigations can be carried out in the area shown such as:	5		Level 2 (4–5 marks) Candidates clearly outline an appropriate question and offer a detailed justification of why the area is suitable for testing that hypothesis e.g. size, nature of the area, access, contrast. Clear reference made to Fig. 2.
			Physical: beach transect, drift survey, vegetation, woodland, cliff erosion, micro-climate, footpath erosion.  Human: tourism, place of origin of visitors, land use, pollution, environmental quality.		Max L1 if no justification.  Generic justification e.g. 'measurable' is acceptable but more a L1 response.	Level 1 (0–3 marks) Candidates outline an appropriate investigation but only offer a limited justification of why the area is suitable for investigating that question e.g. because it has a beach. Little, if any, reference made to Fig. 2.
			Candidates should word it as an appropriate specific question to be investigated, not just an investigation type e.g. beach survey.  'Appropriate' means 'doable' in such a location in the sort of time & resources A level investigations have.		No requirement for hypothesis to be stated in statistical terms.	
			Justification should look at why that location (using directions or features on the photograph) or area is suitable or appropriate for the testing of that hypothesis.			

Question	Answer/Indicative Content	Marks	Guidance		
			Content	Levels of response	
(ii)	Describe and justify how you would collect the primary data needed for this investigation.  There is a link between (i) and (ii) so the data collection strategy should be appropriate to the answer in (i) (if not then Max L1).  Most will describe the methods but answers may describe a number of aspects such as collection planning issues, sampling strategies, survey methods, equipment use.  Justification should cover why these aspects and/or methods are needed to ensure an effective, accurate and rigorous investigation.	10	Needs clear and appropriate justification to reach Level 3.  Can get to top L2 with appropriate detailed description but no justification.	Level 3 (8–10 marks) Candidates clearly describe data collection strategy in depth or a wide variety in less depth. Clear justification for using this/these strategy(s) well linked to the hypothesis outlined in (i). Answer is well structured with accurate gramma and spelling. Good use of appropriate geographical terminology.  Level 2 (5–7 marks) Candidates describe data collection strategies or a variety in less depth. Some justification for using this/these strategy(s) with an attempt to link to the hypothesis outlined in (i). Answer has sound structure but may have some errors in grammar and spelling Some use of appropriate geographical terminology.  Level 1 (0–4 marks) Candidates describe limited aspects of data collection strategies with limited, if any, justification for using this/these strategy(s) with little, if any link to the broad area of investigation outlined in (i). Answer has little structure and has some errors in grammar and spelling. Little use of appropriate geographical terminology	

Question	Answer/Indicative Content	Marks	Gu	idance
			Content	Levels of response
			Primary data does not require defining but do not credit clearly secondary data.	If either description or justification clearly missing then max Level 1.
(b)	Evaluate the use of Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient to test the relationship between two sets of data.  Candidates may approach this in two different ways:  • the pros and cons of this method of analysis  • the reasons why one would test for correlations  Pros include:  • easy to calculate  • avoids real values (extremes) by using ranks  • gives significance/strength of answer  • gives direction of relationship  Cons include:  • easy to slip up in calculation  • avoids real values	5	At least one positive and one negative comment.  Evaluation may be supported with example(s) at L2.	Level 2 (4–5 marks) Candidates evaluate the use of Spearman's with both positives and negatives.  Level 1 (0–3 marks) Candidates offer limited or one sided evaluation. Much will be vague or largely descriptive of the method.
	<ul> <li>problem if a lot of tied ranks</li> <li>doesn't explain correlation</li> <li>needs a minimum number of values</li> <li>not accurate as Product moment</li> <li>No credit for simply stating the formulae and its application.</li> </ul>			

	Questi	on	Answer/Indicative Content	Marks	Guio	lance
					Content	Levels of response
3	(a)		Study Fig. 3, pictograms showing differences in vegetation cover in two areas.			
		(i)	Outline what Fig. 3 indicates about the vegetation cover of the two areas.  This is an invitation to interpret what the pictograms are showing about the differences within each area such as:  Area A has over 50% trees and shrubs and grass are equal at 13% each.  Area B has few conifers and is over 50% deciduous and shrubs.  And between the two areas such as:  Area A is more wooded, has more conifers and grass but both have the same cover of deciduous.  Some credit can be given to appropriate explanation of the nature of the two areas.	5	Clear reference to the Fig. in terms of reading and comparing value but list of figures max 3. Some overview is required at L2.  A description and/or commentary on the technique is likely to be max top of L1.	Level 2 (4–5 marks) Candidates give detailed comments on the differences between and within each area. Clear reference to Fig. 3.  Level 1 (0–3 marks) Candidates give vague or limited comments on the pictogram in showing the data. Limited reference to Fig. 3.

Question	Answer/Indicative Content		Guidance	
			Content	Levels of response
(ii)	Evaluate two alternative ways of showing such data.  This is looking at ways such percentage data could be shown:	10	Credit attempts to show drawings of techniques – it counts as description.  Needs to be clearly linked to 'such' data (i.e. %) to get into L3.	Level 3 (8–10 marks) Candidates clearly evaluate in detaitwo alternative ways. There is clear linkage to percentage data such as that in Fig. 3.
	<ul> <li>bar charts – divided or other</li> <li>pie charts</li> <li>other more accurate proportional symbols</li> <li>locating on a base map using pie, bars etc</li> <li>other – but they would need to be backed up with clear relevance for such % data</li> </ul>		L3 probably distinguished from L2 on range and depth of evaluation.  Purely a description of presentation method is probably a L1 response.	Level 2 (5–7 marks) Candidates offer some evaluation of two alternative ways – although the are likely to be unbalanced at this level. There should be some linkage to percentage data such as that in Fig. 3.
	Evaluation may consider both positive e.g. visual impact and negative e.g. difficulty of measuring the exact % or evaluate in a comparative way.  Located methods such as dot maps, kite diagrams are inappropriate.		Think 6/4 max split of marks.  [ NB. If more than two ways then only credit first two]	Level 1 (0–4 marks) Much may be descriptive with little, any, evaluation. Much will be superficial with little, if any, linkage percentage data such as that in Fig 3.

F764/01 Mark Scheme June 2014

Question	Answer/Indicative Content	Marks	Guid	dance
			Content	Levels of response
	B answers please do not credit what could on in a particular location to achieve at the			e some sense of the real
4	To what extent did the design and application of your sampling strategy produce representative results?  This requires an evaluation of the design and application of the sampling strategy used.  As such it has two components:  1. The initial design (plan and selection): Higher level responses may consider each of the elements of sampling:	20	Clear evaluation of the extent with explanation of why is expected at this level. Clear focus on representative of the area/data population.	Level 3 (16–20 marks) Candidates evaluate in detail the extent to which the design and application of their sampling method produced representative results. Cause and effect are clear and realistic. Answer is well structured with accurate grammar and spelling. Good use of appropriate geographical terminology.
	<ul> <li>size of sample</li> <li>unit of sampling e.g. linear</li> <li>type e.g. systematic</li> </ul> 2. and then how it worked during the investigation e.g. practical considerations such as accessibility, problems with equipment. Higher level answers may distinguish		L3 distinguished from L2 on depth of evaluation and link to the notion of representative.	Level 2 (10–15 marks) Candidates evaluate the extent to which their sampling method produced useful results. Some cause and effect are attempted. Answer has sound structure but may have some errors in grammar and spelling. Some use of appropriate geographical terminology.
	design from application but this is not required.  Higher level responses will link to whether the data then collected was truly representative of the whole population.		Lower level responses will probably outline the advantages and disadvantages of their sampling methods rather than evaluate their design and application.	Level 1 (0–9 marks) Candidates offer limited, if any, evaluation of the extent to which their sampling method had an impact on their results. No real cause and effect and much is descriptive. Answer has little structure and has some errors in

Question	Answer/Indicative Content	Marks	Guid	lance
			Content	Levels of response
	Use of a pilot to refine the design stage can be seen as part of this process.			grammar and spelling. Little use of geographical terminology.  If no titled investigation stated then max level 1.
5	Assess the effectiveness of the	20	Cause and effect are key – i.e. how	Level 3 (16–20 marks)
	strategies you used to minimise risks in your investigation.  Clearly this depends upon the nature of their investigation but risk can be seen in the broadest sense of both:  Risks to the people doing the investigation	20	and why did that particular strategy reduce or modify that particular risk to the people or the investigation.  Higher level answers may consider risks to the accuracy or reliability of data collection.  Detailed description with a balance of assessment.	Candidates evaluate in detail the effectiveness of the strategies they used in their named investigation to reduce risks. Cause and effect are clear and realistic. Answer is well structured with accurate grammar and spelling. Good use of appropriate geographical terminology.
	and/or			Level 2 (10–15 marks) Candidates offer some evaluation of
	Risk of the investigation failing e.g. equipment failing		Strategies likely to be of 'share phone numbers' type, and evaluation of 'this worked well' type can get into L2.	the effectiveness of the strategies they used in their named investigation to reduce risks. Some limited cause and effect. Answer has sound
	and/or		Description with some assessment/ evaluation.	structure but may have some errors in grammar and spelling. Some use of
	The risk to the local environment e.g. damaging rare plants			appropriate geographical terminology.
	and/or		Largely descriptive with little or no assessment/ evaluation.	Level 1 (0–9 marks) Candidates offer no evaluation of the effectiveness of the strategies they
	Producing anomalous results.		Low level responses are likely to	used in their named investigation to reduce risks. No real cause and effect
	Most candidates will focus on risk		consider more extreme risks such as	and much is descriptive of their data.
	assessment as an overarching strategy with a clear recognition that this involves		being mugged, drowning.	Answer has little structure and has some errors in grammar and spelling.

Question	Answer/Indicative Content	Marks	Guidance	
			Content	Levels of response
	severity and likelihood of a hazard or risk.  Then individual strategies may be developed ranging from the generic:  • working in a group  • having a mobile phone  • taking a first aid kit  to more specific ones suited to the nature of that investigation.		This is not an answer requiring a list of equipment or methodology.	Little use of geographical terminology.  If no titled investigation stated then max level 1.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
1 Hills Road
Cambridge
CB1 2EU

#### **OCR Customer Contact Centre**

#### **Education and Learning**

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

#### www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)

Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



